UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/706,685	11/12/2003	James F. McGuckin JR.	1238DIV	7009	
NEIL D. GERSHON REX MEDICAL			EXAMINER		
			SCHILLINGER, ANN M		
1011 HIGH RIDGE RD Stamford, CT 06905			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3774	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/21/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/706,685	MCGUCKIN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	ANN SCHILLINGER	3774
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 S This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 and 31-33 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 and 31-33 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 5, 6, 8-12, 15, 16, and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Frantzen et al. (US Pat. No. 5,843,164) in view of Andersen et al. (US Pat. No. 5,840,081). Frantzen et al. discloses the following of the claimed invention: a vascular device comprising a plurality of vessel engaging members with penetrating tips (20) that pierce the vessel wall. The device is movable from a collapsed insertion position having a first diameter to a second expanded position having a second diameter larger than the first diameter via a balloon catheter (62). When the balloon is deflated and removed, the device will inherently pull inwardly on the vessel wall as it moves towards its third expanded diameter. Please see Figures 2, 3, and 9-11. The device may be made of stainless steel (col. 5, lines 57-58).

Frantzen et al. does not teach including a valve with the vascular device. Andersen et al. teaches a vascular stent that is used to deliver a valve in col. 2, lines 20-65 for the purpose of providing a needed valve to its desired location without major surgical intervention. The valve includes a proximal opening (side nearest element 4) and a distal opening (side nearest element 25), and a reinforcement ring (25) adjacent the distal opening. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a

Art Unit: 3774

valve with the device of Frantzen et al. in order to provide a patient with a needed valve without major surgical intervention.

Claims 2-4, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frantzen et al. in view of Andersen et al., further in view of Flomenbilt et al. (US Pat. No. 5876434). Frantzen et al., as modified by Andersen et al., teaches the invention substantially as claimed, however, they do not teach the use of shape memory material with exposure to body temperature to control the alterations of the device. Flomenbilt et al. teaches shape memory material and exposure to body temperature in col. 2, lines 37-65 and col. 4, line 66 through col. 5, line 15 for the purpose of causing the device to assume the necessary configuration.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use shape memory material with exposure to body temperature in order to cause the device to assume the necessary configuration.

Claims 7 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frantzen et al. in view of Andersen et al., further in view of Wilson et al. (US Pat. No. 5876434).

Frantzen et al., as modified by Andersen et al., teaches the invention substantially as claimed, however, they do not teach the use of blood drainage openings. Wilson et al. teaches these openings in col. 2, lines 42-65 and col. 3, line 66 through col. 4, line 7 for the purpose of relieving excess pressure. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use these openings in order to relieve excess pressure.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17 and 31-33 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. As stated above, the stainless steel stent of

Application/Control Number: 10/706,685 Page 4

Art Unit: 3774

Frantzen et al. will inherently pull back on the vessel wall after the deflation of the expansion balloon. Claims 1 and 12 also contain functional language that is a "recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus. Therefore, this language is not given patentable weight. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1987)

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ann Schillinger whose telephone number is (571) 272-6652. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. thru Fri. 9 a.m. to 4 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/A. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 3774 /William H. Matthews/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3774 Application/Control Number: 10/706,685

Art Unit: 3774

Page 5